### Monitoring mining-related Mednogorsk Environmental Impact

ROSIA MONTANA

an Marine





53°12'25.24" N 33°46'18.40" E elev 186 m

US Dept of State Geographerprin © 2011 Europa Technologies © 2011 Geocentre Consulting



Google earth

Eye alt /5595.20 km 🔘

United Arele Emiretos

Turkmenisten













- Immense SO<sub>2</sub> Emissions
- Fall-Out Metal-rich Particulates
- 27% Population Healthy
- Birth Defects
- Skin Diseases
- Heavy Metal Poisoning
- Lung Diseases
- Alcohol & Poverty

# Urals: KARABASH 1822 Gold Mining

- 1833 Cu- smelting
- 1910 Blister Copper Smelting
- 1994 Ecological Disaster Zone
- 1998-2007 Construction New smelter
- Production at Half Capacity
- Dirtiest Place in Russia





0





#### **GROUND DATA PROGRAMME, 2000-2012**









# Vegetation changes





#### Miass River filled with tailings

SEVENTH FRAMEWORI

ALE LA LELI





sh deforestation

### **KARABASH** vegetation zoning









### **ZONING CONFIRMED BY LICHEN STUDY**



Ben Williamson<sup>1,2</sup>, O. W. Purvis<sup>2</sup>,

I.N. Mikhailova<sup>3</sup>, B. Spiro<sup>2</sup> and V. Udachin<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Camborne School of Mines - University of Exeter, Cornwall <sup>2</sup>Natural History Museum, London

<sup>3</sup>Institute of Plant and Animal Ecology, Ekaterinburg, Russia <sup>4</sup>Russian Academy of Sciences, Miass, Russia







# **MEDNOGORSK** vegetation

> 15 km from Smelter







< 3 km from Smelter









#### LANDSAT NDVI



#### Karabash

### Mednogorsk







## Soil Changes Karabash

m from Smelt







#### Soil Chemistry Karabash: >100 times background









#### Soil Chemistry Mednogorsk: >30 times background



Impa







- Can we map this environmental impact using spectrosocopy
- Can we map this environmental impact using Satellite imagery
- Can we monitor changes using multi-temporal Satellite imagery
- SOILS
- VEGEGATION (Birch trees)







#### Karabash soils: solar reflectance measurements vs WV2-ratios







#### Karabash soils: solar reflectance measurements vs geochmistry

















#### Mednogorsk soils: Contact probe measurements vs geochmistry



impo





# KARABASH VEGETATION STRESS:









#### Karabash: Vegetation Indices for Birch trees









#### Karabash: Vegetation Indices for Birch trees and WV2-ratios











#### SMELTER WAS MODERNIZED BETWEEN 2000 AND 2006

"Karabash now has a hi-tech and environmentally safe metallurgical plant which, apart from the Ausmelt furnace, also includes a modern waste treatment, sulphuric acid and effluent treatment plants. The Karabash plant is now one of the most modern plants of its type in Russia and the complex is among the most up-to-date and environmentally safe copper smelters globally. The Ausmelt-Karabash smelter has won two significant government awards: in 2005 the Ministry of Natural Resources of the Russian Federation awarded ZAO Karabashmed the honorary title of "Leader of Environment Protection Activity in Russia",

and in 2006 the plant General Director and the Chief Engineer were awarded "the Ecological Shield of Russia" for the achievements in environment preservation. <u>http://www.sulphuric-acid.com/sulphuric-acid-on-the-</u> web/acid%20plants/ZAO%20Karabashmed%20-%20Karabash.htm

We should be able to notice the effects of this major improvement by means of remote sensing

MULTITEMPORAL IMAGERY Short-term effects Long-term effects







#### Karabash: Short-term Vegetation Damage



![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### Karabash: NDVI (Landsat Aug 2011) NDVI (WV2 Sept 2011)

![](_page_22_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Karabash: Long-term Vegetation Damage

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### WHERE THE IMPROVENTS EFFECTIVE???

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **ROSIA MONTANA**

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

\* Monitor Environmental Impact of future mining
 \* Current situation seems stable
 \* Characterization of the current situation
 \* Establishing a baseline for future monitoring using RS

- Stream sediment geochem
- soil &rock geochem
  - soil &rock spectra (contact probe and solar reflectance)
  - Grasslands solar reflectance
  - ≥ 550 Birch-leaf spectra
  - Smartplanes UAV-survey
  - Hyperspectral VNIR (50cm resolution)
  - 3 WV2-acquisitions (2010,2011,2012)
  - 4 field campaigns

![](_page_28_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_11.jpeg)

#### DRAINAGE

- **ROCKS (weathering)**
- **SOILS (degradation)**
- **GRASSLANDS (degradation)**
- **TREES (vegetation stress)**

![](_page_28_Picture_17.jpeg)

#### SAMPLING PROGRAMME

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_4.jpeg)

### Airborne Surveys

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_7.jpeg)

### **Spectral measurement locations**

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_6.jpeg)

### **Correlation between soil spectra and chemistry**

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_2.jpeg)

0.050

0.100

Depth 2200nm absorption

0.150

0.200

0.250

0.000

0.000

![](_page_33_Picture_3.jpeg)

0.250

0.200

0.000

0.000

0.050

0.100

Deth 2200nm absoprtion

0.150

0.200

0 250

0.000

k

0.000

0.050

0.100

Depth 2200nm absorption

0.150

![](_page_33_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **Outcropping rocks**

![](_page_34_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### **Outcropping soils**

![](_page_35_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_4.jpeg)

### Solar Reflectance of grasslands / soil mixtures

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **Spatial Resolution of Imagery**

![](_page_37_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_4.jpeg)

### What can we identify in WV2-imagery

![](_page_38_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### **Contact probe spectra of soils versus WV2**

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### WV2-pixel is > 40.000 contact measurements

![](_page_39_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_4.jpeg)

sampling location

### Band ratios of contact probe spectra vs WV2

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_10.jpeg)

#### Solar Reflectance spectra of soils versus WV2

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_6.jpeg)

WV2-pixel is ~25 solar measurements

![](_page_41_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_13.jpeg)

#### Band ratios of solar spectra vs WV2

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_10.jpeg)

### WV2-Classification of grassland /soil mixtures

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_4.jpeg)

# The ImpactMin Crew:

- GEONARDO ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGIES, HUNGARY
- CAMBORN SCHOOL OF MINES, UNIVERSITY OF EXETER, UK
- DMT GMBh & CO. KG, GERMANY
- GEOSENSE, THE NETHERLANDS
- INST. OF MINERALOGY OF THE RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, URALS BRANCH, RUSSIA
- LULEA UNIVERSITY, SWEDEN
- PHOTON SPLIT, CROATIA
- UKRAINIAN LAND & RESOUCE MANAGEMENT CENTER, KIEV, UKRAINE
- UNIVERSITY OF MOSTAR, BOSNIA-HERZEGOVINA
- UNIVERSITY OF BABES BOLYAI, CLUJ-NAPOCA, ROMANIA
- VLAAMSE INSTELLING VOOR TECHNOLOGISCH ONDERZOEK (VITO), BELGIUM

![](_page_48_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_14.jpeg)